



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION

JANE DOE; JOHN and MARY DOE,	)	
Parents and Legal Guardians of the	)	
Minor Child, JUNE DOE; and JOHN and	)	
MARY DOE, Parents and Legal Guardians	)	
of the Minor Child, SALLY DOE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	JURY DEMAND
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 3:13-cv-00328
	)	
RUTHERFORD COUNTY, TENNESSEE,	)	JUDGE ALETA TRAUGER
BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	
	)	MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOHN
Defendant.	)	S. BRYANT

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION ON  
MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Comes Defendant Rutherford County Board of Education, by and through counsel, and moves this court for an order allowing it to file a memorandum of law in support of a motion for summary judgment which exceeds twenty (20) pages in number. In support of its motion, Defendant states as follows:

The initial case management order provides in pertinent part that briefs in dispositive motions shall not exceed twenty (20) pages. (Doc. 27, ¶ K). While preparing a memorandum of law in support of a motion for summary judgment, Defendant's attorney has determined that the memorandum will necessarily have to exceed 20 pages. The primary reason for this is the need to provide guidance to the court through a summary of relatively extensive facts and the need to make slightly different factual and legal arguments regarding the claims of three different Defendants.

Although Defendant's attorney is unable to estimate with any accuracy the number